Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplen	nental	
LRB	Number	01-4816/1		Introd	luction Number	er S	B-477		
Subject Various		ated changes							
Fiscal	Effect								
Local:	No Local Gov	Existing tions Existing tions ew Appropriation vernment Costs		Existing	Increase absorb w Decrease 5.Types of I Units Affe	rithin ag Yes e Costs -ocal Go	May be po ency's buc	lget No	
2	2. 🛛 Decreas	sive Mandator se Costs sive Mandator	3. Increase Re y Permissive 4. Decrease R y Permissive	Manda levenue	atory 🔲 Town	s [2 ties [2 ol [2]	Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.510									
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Ву	Auth	orized S	ignature			Date	
ELB/ Kevin Kennedy (608) 266-8005 Kevin					Kennedy (608) 266-8005				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives ELB 3/4/02

LRB Number	01-4816/1	Introduction Number	SB-477	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				-	
Various election	on-related changes				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The legislation makes three changes designed to make it easier for municipal clerks to have adequate staffing at the polling place on Election Day. These changes may reduce costs to the extent that election inspectors agree to work for no componention. The most significant benefit of the legislation is that municipal clerks will have more flexibility to recruit and place qualified individuals to work the polls on Election Day.

The legislation also codifies current Elections Board practices concerning the distribution of copies of election laws and informational pamphlets to local election officials. The Elections Board makes the information available on its website. If the agency distributed a copy for each polling place the agency would need at least \$10,000 more in its base budget funding to cover printing and distribution costs.

The changes relating to calling a recall election may reduce local government costs. A local government unit will not have to pay the costs of calling a meeting to order a recall election. A local government will not need to pay the costs of conducting a recall election immediately before the regularly scheduled election.

The technical language changes have no measurable fiscal impact. It will reduce the amount of Elections Board staff time explaining statutory language inconsistencies to local election officials, attorneys and candidates.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

See above.